

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/838,927 04/19/2001 Seth Harman 7590 11/16/2006		Seth Harman	79113-277233	2178
			EXAM	EXAMINER
PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP Suite 2800			VAN BRAMER, JOHN W	
725 South Figure	eroa		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5406			3622	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/838,927	HARMAN ET AL.	ARMAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
John Van Bramer	3622		

	John Van Bramer	3622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 October 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date						
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Offi	ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two montl	ns of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains the contains	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause			
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet 		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or	corresponding number of finally rei	acted claims				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of infally rej	ecteu ciaims.				
	21 See attached Nation of Nan Co	mnliant Amandment	(DTOL 324)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		impliant Amendment	(FTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		timely filed amandmy	ent concoling the			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).			_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:		•				
Claim(s) rejected:		•				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		4				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	(1) Xto.	te			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.		(meron) an				
		ERIC W. STA	MBER NT EXAMINER			

SUPERVISORY PA TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In light of the applicants specification and the context in which the term "dynamically manifest" has been used in the claims, the Speigel reference does teach such manifestation. See previous Office Action dated June 16, 2006 since the list appears and updates in a dynamic fashion. Additionally, as previously discussed, the Speigel teachings inherently include maintaining state since without such inherency automatic updates could not occur. Finally, the examiner reminds the applicant the the HTML argument is made under 35 USC 103 and as such does not affect the accuracy of the claims rejected under 35 USC 102...

Continuation of 13. Other: The applicants amendment to the specification has overcome the objection raised in the Office Action dated 6/16/2006. Thus, the examiner hereby withrdaws the objection to the specification..